

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/682,978		11/05/2001	Kellic L. Dutra	BUR920010077	BUR920010077 4144	
29625	7590	12/17/2003		EXAMINER		
MCGUIRE 1750 TYSON				VINH,	LAN	
SUITE 1800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	/A 2210	2-4215	1765			

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1.				
	€		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/682,978	DUTRA ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit	-				
			Lan Vinh	1765					
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ars on the cov r she t with th	e correspond nce address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl pely received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply v statutory period wil y will, by statute, o	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	I.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>24 Se</u>	<u>ptember 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers				,				
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	e: a) acce ection to the d g the correction	pted or b) objected to by the objected to by the objected to by the objected in abeyance. On is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	The oath or declaration is objected t inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	.o by the Exa	immer. Note the attached On	ice Action or form P1O-152.					
12) \(\begin{aligned}	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lattacknowledgment is made of a claim of the first service.	documents documents of the priorit onal Bureau on for a list o for domestic ed in the first nguage prov	have been received. have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 sentence of the specification risional application has been priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 9(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data She received. 20 and/or 121 since a specific	et.				
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	((s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the primed reactor chamber" in claim 18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frankel et al (US 6,444,037)

Frankel discloses an apparatus/chamber for fabricating an integrated circuit. The apparatus/chamber having chamber wall/claimed interior chamber surface, the chamber wall /interior chamber surface comprises of aluminum/claimed a first material, a fluorine-

based gas may begin to contaminate or to react with the aluminum chamber wall (col 38, lines 35-45), which reads on a substance incorporated in the first material.

Regarding claims 1-7,9, it is noted that no patentable weight is given to the phrases "the substance balances receipt of a to-be-controlled material"," wherein the interior chamber surface minimizes volatile compound upon a to-be-controlled material contacting the interior chamber surface", "wherein the surface blocks an etching material", "wherein the substance binds with silicon and minimizes Si-F bonding", "wherein the substance minimizes formation of a volatile compound", "wherein the substance minimizes SiF4 formation" and "wherein the substance impedes reaction between the chamber surface and the to-be-controlled material "because a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure/apparatus is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claim 11, Frankel discloses that the chamber is cleaned in a chamber cleaning procedure (col 54, lines 39-41)

5. Claims 13-14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frankel et al (US 6,444,037)

Frankel discloses a method for fabricating an integrated circuit in an apparatus/chamber. This method comprises the steps of: providing an apparatus

/chamber 15 having chamber wall/claimed interior chamber surface, the chamber wall /interior chamber surface comprises of aluminum/claimed a first material (col 38, lines 44-46)

performing a seasoning step to recombine all free F species or trapping the species to the chamber wall through silicon oxide deposition, the chamber 15 can trap fluorine atoms that may have been absorbed onto the surfaces of the chamber (col 56, lines 46-48; col 57, lines 23-25), which reads on incorporating a substance in the first material of the interior surface of the reactor chamber with the substance comprising a seasoning element or compound containing seasoning atoms or molecules that when combined with the chamber surface and or a material to be used in the reactor chamber are relatively less volatile than a combination, alone without the seasoning atoms or molecule

Regarding claim 14, Frankel discloses placing power residue in the chamber (col 38, lines 39-40), which reads on placing the seasoning element in solid form in the reaction chamber.

Regarding claim 16, Frankel discloses placing SiO2/ power residue in the chamber (col 38, lines 39-40), which reads on a silicon-based compound

Regarding claim 17, Frankel discloses performing additional clean steps after the chamber clean (col 56, lines 17-18)

6. Claims 18-20, 22, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frankel et al (US 6,444,037)

Application/Control Number: 09/682,978

Art Unit: 1765

Frankel discloses a method for fabricating an integrated circuit in an apparatus/chamber. This method comprises the steps of: providing an apparatus /reactor 15 having reactor wall/claimed interior surface of the reactor, the reactor wall /interior surface of the reactor comprises of aluminum/claimed a first material (col 38, lines 44-46)

a fluorine-based gas may begin to contaminate or to react with the aluminum chamber wall to form SiF4 which is drawn out of the chamber (col 38, lines 35-45), which reads on incorporated a substance in the first material of the interior surface of the reactor to minimize an undesirable reaction at the surface

forming an etched doped dielectric layer/etched product in the reactor (col 42, lines 13-15)

The limitations of claims 19, 20 have been discussed above.

Regarding claim 22, Frankel discloses producing an oxide layer (col 43, lines 55-57)

Regarding claim 23, Frankel discloses performing additional clean steps after the chamber clean (col 56, lines 17-18)

7. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frankel et al (US 6,444,037)

performing a seasoning step to recombine all free F (fluorine) species or trapping the species to the chamber wall through silicon oxide deposition, the chamber 15 can trap fluorine atoms that may have been absorbed onto the surfaces of the chamber (col 56, lines 46-48; col 57, lines 23-25), which reads on incorporating a substance in the

first material of the interior surface of the reactor chamber with the substance comprising a seasoning element, the fluorine-based gas can not react with the SiO2 to form SiF4 (col 38, lines 41-43), which reads on reducing the formation of volatile compounds when fluorine encounter the surface

conducting a cleaning step etches native oxide in the reactor using NF3/fluorine gas (col 46, lines 10-54)

The limitation of claim 25 has been discussed above.

Regarding claim 26, Frankel discloses performing additional clean steps after the chamber clean (col 56, lines 17-18)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al (US 6,444,037) in view of Han et al (US 6,508,911)

Frankel's apparatus/chamber has been described above in paragraph 4. Frankel's apparatus differs from the claimed invention as per claim 8 by comprises of aluminum instead of silicon or SiC.

Art Unit: 1765

However, Han, in a method for coating plasma reactor, discloses that coated SiC and SiC/Si composite can be substituted for the aluminum chamber wall (col 9, lines 55-57)

Hence, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to substitute Frankel's aluminum chamber with SiC in view of Han's teaching because both aluminum and SiC are known material used for the plasma chamber, thus the substitution of one for the other would have produced the expected result.

10. Claims 10, 12, 15, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al (US 6,444,037) in view of Radhamohan et al (US 5,997,685)

Frankel's method has been described above in paragraph 5. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 10, 12, 15, Frankel does not specifically disclose the step of including cobalt in the at least one interior chamber surface/ placing cobalt-containing solid in the chamber.

Radhamohan discloses a method for processing semiconductor substrate using an apparatus comprises the step of using cobalt in the at least one interior chamber surface (col 6, lines 9-11)

Hence, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify Frankel's method by including cobalt in the at least one interior chamber surface/ placing cobalt-containing solid in the chamber as per Radhamohan because Radhamohan states that additional element such as cobalt provide increase corrosion resistance, thermal shock

Application/Control Number: 09/682,978

Art Unit: 1765

resistance, or to improve other properties of the alloy used in the chamber (col 6, lines

Page 8

10-14)

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 703 305-6302.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 703 305-2667. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-

0661.

LV

December 12, 2003